

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,930	04/08/2004	Anthony Catalano	TAG-001-US-n	8748
21833	7590 03/27/200	5	EXAMINER	
PRITZKAU PATENT GROUP, LLC 993 GAPTER ROAD			VO, TUYET THI	
	CO 80303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ĺ			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/820,930	CATALANO, ANTHONY	(on
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuyet Vo	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 50-54,57-61 and 63-65 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 65 is/are withdrawn for the above claim(s) 65 is/are withdrawn for the above claim(s) 65 is/are withdrawn for the above claim(s) 50-54,57-61,63 and 64 is/are rejected for the above claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or the above claim(s) filled on is/are: a) according to the above claim for the	from consideration. d. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/820,930

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. However the prosecute of application is still open due to a new ground rejection as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. Claim 51, line 2, recitation of "a planar surface oriented normal to and facing said forwardly extending central axis of illumination" is not described in the specification in order to support the meaning of "a planar surface oriented **normal**". How does the planar surface (of PCB) appear to be called normal? Line 4, recitation of "free ends define an axis normal to the planar front surface" raises the same above confusion, specially, the term "**normal**" does not provide the specific orientation of the axis defined by the free ends (of LED).
- 5. Claim 54, line 4, recitation of "free ends define an axis **normal** to the planar front surface" raises the same above confusion, specially, the term "normal" does not provide the specific orientation of the axis defined by the free ends (of LED). Line 5, recitation of "directs

Application/Control Number: 10/820,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

some its light **normal** to said central axis" renders clarity of claim language. What light direction is defined a normal or abnormal in relative to a central axis reference?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. For best understood, claims 50, 51, 53, 54, 57-61 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chun (US Pat. 6,924,605).

Regarding claims 50, 53, 57-61 and 63, Chun discloses a LED flashlight device (Figs. 4-7) for illuminating an object by directing a beam of light (65) from the device onto the object, comprising:

a housing (12) having a reflecting lens (60) arrangement supported therewith, the lens arrangement including light reflector surface segments which circumscribes a given area and which defines a forward extending central axis of illumination (Figs. 1 and 4); and

an illumination assembly including a printed circuit board (45) having a front planar surface (A, marked by examiner) and a back planar surface (B, marked by examiner), a light emitting diode (65) on the front surface (A), a control circuitry (90, 100) connected to the light source (65) and printed on at least one of surface (col. 1, lines 54-63) of the printed circuit board (45) for connecting the light source LED (65) to a power source (55) the light source LED is disposed within the given area in a way it causes light out of a given area guide via means of reflection to a general direction of a forwardly extending central axis of illumination, wherein the illumination assembly includes another of LED light source (110).

Application/Control Number: 10/820,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claims 51 and 54, Chun discloses the LED (65) having two free ends (94, 95) and opposite ends (94*, 95*), wherein the two free ends (94, 95) and the opposite ends (94*, 95*) define an axis that is parallel to the forward extending axis of the PCB (45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 52 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dubuc (US Pat. 6,981,784).

Chun discloses substantially the claim invention as noted above except for a control circuitry is printed on the back surface of the printed circuit board and the illumination assembly is extended to retrofit with an incandescent light source.

Duduc fulfills the missing features in Chun by disclosing LED light source device (Figs. 10 and 14), in that, Duluc clearly suggests all of electronic components including a control circuitry for driving LED are printed on the back/reverse side of a printed circuit board for enhancing heat radiation while a plurality of LEDs mounted in a front/forward side of the printed circuit board (col. 4, lines 16-19). Duluc also teaches not limit the operation of the LED light source system to a LED light source device, but extending the ability of adapting to any type of light source device such as an incandescent bulb (col. 4, lines 28-37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize extensive teachings of Duluc into the Chun lighting system in order to obtain a better light source system with higher reliable operation.

Citation of pertinent prior art

Art Unit: 2821

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Szypszak (US Pub. 2004/0070990) discloses LED illuminator and method of manufacture.

Camarota et al. (US Pub. 2002/0141196) discloses lamp assembly with selectively positionable bulb.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Tuvet Vo

Primary Examiner

March 22, 2006